# Automata learning



### No formal specification available? Learn it!

# L\* algorithm (D.Angluin '87)

**Finite alphabet** of system's actions A



- set of system behaviors is a **regular language**  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq A^*$

## A zoo of automata

Universal

Non-deterministic

Probabilistic

### Algorithms

involved and hard to check



### **Correctness proofs**

# Category Theory

Conceptual tools

### Guidelines new algorithms

Unveil connections

Correctness proof(s)



No free lunch!

### Automata

# $X \to 2 \times X^A$ **DFA**

# $X \to \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^X)^A$ wfa



**Algebraic properties** 

**Transition structure** 

### $X \to 2 \times X^A$ DFA

 $2^{A^*}$ 

#### Language equivalence



Proof methods for equivalence



# Up-to techniques

#### Algebraic structure





#### HKC algorithm - Bonchi and Pous 2014







using bisimulations up to union

### Example

$$(x, u)$$

$$+ (y, v+w)$$

$$= (x+y, u+v+w)$$

### Another example





#### Bisimulations up-to **congruence** HKC algorithm of Bonchi&Pous

# More examples

#### **Up-To Techniques for Weighted Systems. (TACAS '17)**

Filippo Bonchi, Barbara König, Sebastian Küpper



#### **Coinduction up-to in a fibrational setting (CSL-LICS 2014)**

Filippo Bonchi, Daniela Petrisan, Damien Pous, Jurriaan Rot

#### The Power of Convex Algebras (CONCUR' 17)

Filippo Bonchi, Alexandra Silva, Ana Sokolova





### such that row(t) = row(s).

(S, E, row) is *closed* if for all  $t \in S \cdot A$  there exists an  $s \in S$ 

#### Can we develop L\* for infinite (nominal) sets?

# Infinite alphabets

#### $\mathcal{L}_n = \{ww \mid w \in A^\star, |w| = n\}$

 $\mathcal{L}_1 = \{aa, bb, cc, dd, \ldots\}$ 



infinite automaton

#### A infinite



#### but with a finite representation



#### Nominal sets



#### Infinite sets with symmetries







name binding alpha-equivalence

. . . . .

Finitely representable



Automata theory over nominal sets







### $\{w \in \mathbb{A}^* \mid \exists a.a \text{ occurs twice in } w\}$



finite representation







#### **DFA in Nom**

### transition closed under permutations equivariant

algebraic structure

b # aa $(s_a)$ 



 $s_a \xrightarrow{a} t \Rightarrow s_{\pi a} \xrightarrow{\pi a} t$ 

#### L<sup>\*</sup> LEARNER $S, E \leftarrow \{\epsilon\}$ 2 repeat 3 while (S, E) is not closed or not consistent 4 if (S, E) is not closed 5 find $s_1 \in S$ , $a \in A$ such that $row(s_1a) \neq row(s)$ , for all $s \in S$ $S \leftarrow S \cup \{s_1a\}$ 6 7 if (S, E) is not consistent 8 find $s_1, s_2 \in S$ , $a \in A$ , and $e \in E$ such that $row(s_1) = row(s_2)$ and $\mathcal{L}(s_1ae) \neq \mathcal{L}(s_2ae)$ 9 $E \leftarrow E \cup \{ae\}$ Make the conjecture M(S, E)10 if the Teacher replies no, with a counter-example t 11 12 $S \leftarrow S \cup \texttt{prefixes}(t)$ until the Teacher replies yes to the conjecture M(S, E). 13 return M(S, E)14

# Challenges

range over infinite sets



finding witnesses potentially requires checking infinite rows



t has only finitely many prefixes, but an infinite S is necessary

#### L<sup>\*</sup> LEARNER $S, E \leftarrow \{\epsilon\}$ repeat 3 while (S, E) is not closed or not consistent 4 if (S, E) is not closed 5 find $s_1 \in S$ , $a \in A$ such that $row(s_1a) \neq row(s)$ , for all $s \in S$ $S \leftarrow S \cup \{s_1a\}$ 6 7 if (S, E) is not consistent 8 find $s_1, s_2 \in S$ , $a \in A$ , and $e \in E$ such that $row(s_1) = row(s_2)$ and $\mathcal{L}(s_1ae) \neq \mathcal{L}(s_2ae)$ 9 $E \leftarrow E \cup \{ae\}$ Make the conjecture M(S, E)10 if the Teacher replies no, with a counter-example t 11 12 $S \leftarrow S \cup \texttt{prefixes}(t)$ until the Teacher replies yes to the conjecture M(S, E). 13 14 return M(S, E)

(P1) the sets S, S·A and E admit a finite representation up to permutations; (P2) row is such that row $(\pi(s))(\pi(e)) = row(s)(e)$ , for all  $s \in S$  and  $e \in E$ . Observation table admits a finite symbolic representation.

# Challenges

range over infinite sets



finding witnesses potentially requires checking infinite rows



t has only finitely many prefixes, but an infinite S is necessary



# Nominal L\*

### $\begin{array}{ll} 6' & S \leftarrow S \cup \operatorname{orb}(sa) \\ 9' & E \leftarrow E \cup \operatorname{orb}(ae) \end{array}$ 12' $E \leftarrow E \cup \operatorname{prefixes}(\operatorname{orb}(t))$

only 3 lines changed!

not really... all definitions have to be adapted to nominal/equivariant.

Correctness, termination, ... have to be re-proved!





### Categorical glasses



(S, E, row) is *closed* if for all  $t \in S \cdot A$  there exists an  $s \in S$ such that row(t) = row(s).

Pretty.... but is it useful? are such that (*S*, *E*, *row*) is *c*  $row(s_1) = row(s_2)$ , for all  $a \in A$ ,  $row(s_1a) = row(s_2a)$ .



### The power of abstraction





- Definitions are the *same*
- Proof of correctness is the *same*

### **Endofunctor** $F: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ = automaton type



 $FQ \\ \downarrow \delta_Q$  $\forall \neg$  $Q \quad out_Q$ 

### **Endofunctor** $F: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ = automaton type

 $\mathsf{init}_Q$ 

**DFAs** C = Set $F = (-) \times A$ 

FQ $\downarrow \delta_Q$  $\mathsf{out}_Q$  $_{\lambda}Q$ 

### **Endofunctor** $F: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ = automaton type

 $\mathsf{init}_Q$ 

**DFAs** C = Set $F = (-) \times A$ 

 $Q \times A$   $\downarrow^{\delta_Q}$  $\lambda Q \operatorname{out}_Q$ 

### **Endofunctor** $F: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ = automaton type

 $\mathsf{init}_Q$ 

**DFAs** C = Set $F = (-) \times A$ 

 $Q \times A$   $\downarrow^{\delta_Q}$ ZQ~

### **Endofunctor** $F: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ = automaton type

 $\mathsf{init}_Q$ 

 $q_0 \in Q$ 

**DFAs** C = Set $F = (-) \times A$ 

 $Q \times A$   $\downarrow^{\delta_Q}$  $\$  out $_Q$  $_{\lambda}Q$ L

### **Endofunctor** $F: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ = automaton type

 $\mathsf{init}_Q$ 

 $q_0 \in Q$ 

DFAs C = Set $F = (-) \times A$ 

 $Q \times A$   $\downarrow^{\delta_Q}$  $\mathcal{A}$  $\mathsf{out}_Q$ 

### **Endofunctor** $F: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ = automaton type

 $\mathsf{init}_Q$ 

 $q_0 \in Q$ 

DFAs C = Set $F = (-) \times A$ 

 $Q \times A$   $\downarrow^{\delta_Q}$  $\mathsf{out}_Q$  $_{\varkappa}Q$  $F \subseteq Q$ 

# Abstract learning



Gerco van Heerdt, Matteo Sammartino, Alexandra Silva



### Other automata & optimizations

### Change base category

Set	DFAs	Joshua Moerman
Nom	Nominal automata	

Weighted automata Vect

### Side-effects (via monads)

**Powerset with intersection** 

### Change main data structure

#### Learning Nominal Automata (POPL '17)

Matteo Sammartino, Alexandra Silva, Bartek Klin, Michal Szynwelski

#### **Discrimination trees**

#### Learning Automata with Side-effects (arXiv:1704.08055)

Gerco van Heerdt, Matteo Sammartino, Alexandra Silva

- Powerset **NFAs** 
  - **Universal automata**
- **Double powerset** Alternating automata
  - Maybe monad Partial automata





### Connections with other algorithms

Automata Learning

algorithms

### Automaton type

Minimization algorithms

# **Testing algorithms**



# Ongoing and future work

- (as **nominal automata**)
- Applications:
  - Specification mining
  - Network verification, with amazon
  - Verification of cryptographic protocols
  - Ransomware detection

• Library & tool to learn control + data-flow models



# Ongoing and future work

### Learning convex automata





Challenging analytical properties



# Conclusions

#### Category theory is a good playground to understand and generalise algorithms

### Unveils connections and sets the scene No free lunch





